

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

**CERAMICS** INTERNATIONAL

Ceramics International 39 (2013) 2463-2471

www.elsevier.com/locate/ceramint

## Enhanced performance of a macroporous ceramic support for nanofiltration by using $a-Al_2O_3$ with narrow size distribution

Hong Qi\*, Shufeng Niu, Xiaoluo Jiang, Nanping Xu

State Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering, Membrane Science and Technology Research Center, Nanjing University of Technology, Nanjing 210009, China

> Received 12 August 2012; accepted 2 September 2012 Available online 8 September 2012

#### Abstract

Enhanced performance of a macroporous disk alumina support was fabricated through colloidal filtration route, by using  $a-Al_2O_3$  powder with an average particle size of 1.1 mm. The support, sintered at 1250 1C, showed relative high permeances towards water (101 L h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>) and nitrogen ( $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>), with an average surface roughness of  $\sim 175$  nm and a high mechanical strength of 61.1 MPa. Titania supported g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mesoporous layers were deposited onto this promising disk a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support through dip-coating. The disk membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, with pore size of ca. 4.4 nm, showed a pure water flux as high as 4.5 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>, which is four times higher than that of g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane reported in literature. This mesoporous membrane showed relative high retention rate ( $\sim 80\%$ ) towards di-valent cations like Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, but not for the mono-valent cation (Na<sup>+</sup>). © 2012 Elsevier Ltd and Techna Group S.r.l. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Ceramic membranes; Macroporous alumina support; Nanofiltration; Permeability

### 1. Introduction

As a pressure-driven membrane process without phase transition, nanofiltration (NF) membrane processes are widely used in separation, refinement and condensation that are ubiquitously present in process industry like watertreatment, pigment, food, paper-making, pharmacy and chemical engineering [1]. This new technology has gained much more attention due to its simple process without heating, no chemical reaction and is environmental friendly. The membrane materials, used in NF processes can be categorized into polymeric membranes and ceramic ones, of which polymeric nanofiltration membranes are commercially available for many years [2,3]. Nevertheless, polymeric NF membrane that can be applied under extreme conditions (e.g. lower or higher pH, aggressive organic chemicals) remains one of the main challenges in this field of membrane research [2]. In comparison with polymeric membranes, ceramic membranes have distinct advantages such as chemical, thermal and mechanical stability, which are suitable for application under harsh environments [4]. However, the fabrication of ceramic membranes is much more complicated than that of its organic counterpart [3], which severely limits implementation. Ceramic membranes are typically fabricated with an asymmetric layered structure consisting of support, intermediate layers and top layer, which fulfils the separation process [5]. At present, much more investigations are focused on the development of NF membrane materials, including g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub> [3,6–16], of which  $TiO_2$  is commercially available by Inopor, Germany. With respect to fabrication of ceramic NF membranes, porous alumina materials are normally used as support providing a pore size in the range of 1-10 mm, which require 2-5 subsequent intermediate layers to reduce support pore size and roughness, so as to facilitate NF membrane deposition [4]. Each layer must separately undergo a drying and sintering step, which inevitably hampers implementation of ceramic NF membranes for large amounts of potential applications.

To optimize the support structure in such a way that it is suitable for the formation of NF membranes in one subsequent step, a high quality alumina powder with narrow particle size distribution was used to fabricate supports

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 25 83172279; fax: +86 25 83172292. E-mail address: hqinjut@yahoo.com.cn (H. Qi).

<sup>0272-8842/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Ltd and Techna Group S.r.l. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2012.09.004

Nomenclature		Р I	fracture stress (N) distance between supporting jigs (mm)
Q	support/membrane permeance $(L m^{-2} h^{-1} bar^{-1})$	B h	width of the sample at fracture point (mm) height of the sample at fracture point (mm)
m	weight of the permeate (Kg)	R	ionic retention rate of the membrane
А	effective filtration area $(m^2)$	$C_{ip}$	ionic concentration of permeate solution
t	filtration time (h)	•	$(\text{mol } L^{-1})$
Dp	trans-membrane pressure (bar)	$C_{if}$	ionic concentration of feed solution (mol $L^{-1}$ )
r	density of the permeate solution $(Kg/dm^3)$	r	PEG radius (Å)
$R_{\mathrm{f}}$	three point bending strength of the support (MPa)	$M_{W}$	90% MWCO of PEG for membrane $(g \text{ mol}^{-1})$

possessing a homogeneous pore structure, with an average pore size of  $\sim 100$  nm [17]. Supports prepared from stabilized suspensions of Sumitomo AKP30 (with a mean particle size of  $\sim 400 \text{ nm}$ ) exhibited ideal surface morphology (with an average roughness of  $\sim 30$  nm) and excellent mechanical strength (4 200 MPa), but inadequate permeability for gases (e.g. the permeance for N<sub>2</sub> was  $\sim 5.15 \times 10^{-7}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>  $Pa^{-1}$  [17], and liquids (e.g. the permeance for water was  $3.3 \text{ Lm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  [18]. Alumina with an average particle size of  $\sim 600$  nm, was used to fabricate a more permeable support [17,19]. However, liquid permeance was still not high enough (4.5 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>). Although the NF membrane processes are generally carried out at higher pressure of 10-20 bar, those low permeances for ceramic NF membranes still cannot meet the requirements for industrial implementation. To improve the permeability of ceramic NF membranes, further optimization of the preparation procedures of NF separation layers (including hydrolysis and condensation of precursors, dip-coating, drying and calcination processes) is required. On the other hand, it is well known that high quality porous supports are essential for NF membranes. Therefore, optimizing support structures, reduction of the amount of intermediate layers, is another way to improve the permeability of NF membranes. With respect to the latter strategy to improve the permeance of ceramic NF membranes, research progress can be summarized as follows. First of all, coarse alumina with a mean size as large as 3 mm was adopted to fabricate supports [17], which showed much higher gas permeability in the order of  $\sim \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ . Secondly, supports with gradient structures were prepared through a single-step processing method, by using powders with relative broad size distributions [20-22]. Highly porous structure with a continuously increasing mean pore size from top to bottom was obtained by a controlled sedimentation technique. Results showed that those functionally gradient supports exhibited pure water permeance as high as 25.2 L  $m^{-2}h^{-1}bar^{-1}$ , with top layer pore size less than 50 nm was achieved. However, neither liquid permeances of supports nor subsequent NF membrane fabrication was reported in the above-mentioned research.

In this paper, we report on the fabrication of a high performance macroporous support, starting from alumina powder with an average size of 1.1 mm. Such alumina

particles would result in relative large pore size of the partially sintered porous structure and, hence, improve permeance of the macroporous support. On the other hand, the relatively narrow particle size distribution induces a surface morphology that enables the reduction of defects in subsequently deposited NF membrane layers. The effect of sintering temperature on properties of this promising support, as well as that properties in comparison with the data cited from references, was investigated in detail. Nanofiltration properties of g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mesoporous membrane, which is deposited on this promising support, were also reported.

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Preparation of macroporous alumina supports

a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder (purity 4 99.5%, Nanjing, China) with a mean particle size of ca. 1.1 mm, were used as received. 75 g a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder was introduced into 175 g deionized water under stirring and pH value of the suspension was adjusted to 1.5 with the addition of 1 mol  $L^{-1}$  HNO<sub>3</sub>. Subsequently, the suspension was poured into a dedicated glass bottle and ultrasonically dispersed for 20 min (VC 505, Sonics and Materials Inc.). After screening with a stainless-steel sieve (mesh size: 0.1 mm), 25 ml well-dispersed suspension was casted into a PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) disk module followed by free gravitative sedimentation for 4 h. Subsequently vacuum-pumping was adopted to suck the suspension for 30 min to obtain green disk-shaped alumina compacts. The samples were dried overnight and then sintered in air in the temperature range of 1100–1400 IC, with dwelling time and ramping rate being 2 h and 2 1C/min, respectively. The sintered supports, hereafter referred to as support A1100, were polished with a polishing apparatus (Phoenix 4000, Buehler) equipped with diamond abrasive disc, so as to provide smooth surfaces for subsequent membranes deposition.

# 2.2. Preparation of titania intermediate and $g-Al_2O_3$ top layers

A  $TiO_2$  colloidal sol with an average particle size of 41.3 nm, was fabricated through an acid-catalyzed colloidal

sol-gel route, by using titanium isopropoxide as a precursor. The sol with suitable viscosity was deposited onto the abovementioned disk a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support via dip-coating method with a dedicated apparatus (MEMDIP 5, Pervatech B.V., The Netherlands). This a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported TiO<sub>2</sub> intermediate layer was dried and then calcined at 500 IC. The same procedure was reproduced for one more time in order to repair the defects in the support. The objective for deposition of TiO<sub>2</sub> intermediate layer onto the a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was to reduce the pore size of the support underneath and, hence, facilitates the top g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mesoporous layers formation. A stable Boehmite sol with a mean particle size of about 20 nm was synthesized by using aluminum sec-butoxide as a precursor through particle colloidal sol-gel route. The Boehmite sol was coated onto a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported TiO<sub>2</sub> intermediate layer through dip-coating, followed by drying and calcining (500 1C) to form a g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane. The membrane was hereafter referred to as A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

### 2.3. Materials characterization

The particle size distribution of alumina powder was probed by using a Zetatrac analyzer (Microtrac Inc. US). The densification curve of the support, represented as the linear shrinkage of the disk support, was calculated with the diameter variation of the support before and after sintering. Porosity of the macroporous support was determined by Archimedes method with water as immersion medium. Pore size distribution of support was measured by mercury intrusion method (Poremaster, Quantachrome). The smoothness of the disk support, characterized as surface roughness, was determined by a roughness-determination device (JB-4C, Taiming Optics Instrument Inc. Shanghai). Each measurement was carried out after polishing of the samples. Scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-6300, JEOL) was employed to observe surfaces and cross-section of disk-shaped supports. Pure water flux of disk support and membrane was characterized by using a dead-end filtration apparatus under trans-membrane pressure in the range of 1-5 bar, as shown in Fig. 1. The pure water flux was calculated with Eq. (1) as follows:

$$Q = \frac{m}{rAtDp}$$
(1)

Where Q is the support/membrane permeance (L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>), **m** is the weight of the permeate (Kg), A is the effective filtration area (m<sup>2</sup>), t is the filtration time (h), **Dp** is the trans-membrane pressure (bar), and **r** is the density of the permeate solution (Kg/dm<sup>3</sup>).

Single gas permeability of the disk-shaped support, characterized by its nitrogen permeance at ambient temperature, was measured by using a dead-end mode set-up. A disk-shaped support was sealed in a stainless steel module using fluoroelastomer O-rings. The pressure difference across the support was 1 bar, while the permeate side was vent to the atmosphere. The mechanical strength of the support, represented as three-point bending



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of a dead-end filtration apparatus for the determination of pure water flux of disk support and membrane.



Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the apparatus for the determination of mechanical strength of rectangular macroporous support.

strength, was determined by a materials testing machine (CMT 6203, New SANS Inc. Shenzhen, China). A rectangular sample with size of  $3.5 \text{ mm} \times 7 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ mm}$  was set on two stainless-steel jigs 20 mm apart (see Fig. 2). Samples were bent and fractured by an upper stainlesssteel jig, at a constant loading rate of 0.5 mm/min. The three-point bending strength of supports was calculated by the following Eq. (2):

$$R_{f} = \frac{3PL}{2bh^{2}}$$
(2)

Where  $R_f$  is the three point bending strength (MPa), P is the fracture stress (N), L is the distance between supporting jigs (mm), b is the width of the sample at fracture point (mm), h is the height of the sample at fracture point (mm).

Retention property of membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was characterized by a dead-end filtration mold using the same apparatus, as depicted in Fig. 1, while the feed solution was kept stirring at a speed of 200 r/min to avoid concentration gradient. The feed solution contained PEGs (Alfa Aesar) with molecular masses of 4000, 10,000, 20,000, and 100,000, while the concentration was  $3 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ . The measurements were conducted at a trans-membrane pressure of 4 bar and a temperature of 20731C. The retention rates of the membrane were determined with gel permeation chromatography (GPC, Waters), by collecting both feed and permeate solutions. The molecular mass of the PEG corresponding to a 90% retention level was taken as the MWCO (molecular weight cut-off) of the membrane. Ionic retention properties of the mesoporous membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> towards single component salt solutions, like NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgCl<sub>2</sub>, were also determined by using a disk dead-end filtration apparatus, with the same procedures as the PEG retention

measurement. The concentration of the above-mentioned solutions was controlled in the range of 0.005-0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$ . And the pH was modulated with the addition of HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. Ionic retention rates of the membrane were determined by measuring conductivities of the solutions, which were collected from both feed and permeate side, and calculated with Eq. (3) as follows:

$$\mathbf{R}(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_{\rm ip}}{C_{\rm if}}\right) 100\tag{3}$$

Where R is the ionic retention rate of the membrane,  $C_{ip}$  is the ionic concentration of permeate solution, and  $C_{if}$  is the ionic concentration of feed solution.

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Properties of macroporous alumina support as a function of sintering temperature

Fig. 3 shows the particle size distribution of  $a-Al_2O_3$ powders used in this study. It was found that the alumina particle size was in the range of 0.7 - 2 mm, with an average size of  $\sim 1.1$  mm. It should be noted that a small amount of particles, with size smaller than 0.5 mm, can also be observed in Fig. 3. The narrow particle size distribution is a prerequisite for the formation of a uniform microstructure, which is obtained through random packing of solid particles. Fig. 4 gives the linear shrinkage of support A1100 as a function of sintering temperature. Also shown in Fig. 4 for comparison, are shrinkage data [19] of a macroporous support (hereafter referred to as support A400), which is fabricated by using a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders with an average size of 400 nm (Sumitomo AKP-30). It is visible that the linear shrinkage of support A1100 increased as the sintering temperature elevated, from 0.91% (1100 IC) to 12% (1350 1C). Under the same sintering conditions, support A400 exhibited higher shrinkage rate in comparison with that of support A1100, which is due to the finegrained (400 nm) alumina used for fabrication of the support A400. However, it is worthwhile to note that



Fig. 3. Particle size distribution of alumina powder used in this study.



Fig. 4. Linear shrinkage of support A1100 as a function of sintering temperature. Also shown are data of support A400 (cited from reference [19]).



Fig. 5. Sintering temperature dependence of porosity of support A1100. Also shown are porosity of support A400 sintered at different temperatures, which are cited from reference [21].

support A1100 sintered at 1400 1C showed a higher shrinkage than that of support A400 sintered at the same temperature. It might be the case that a small fraction of sub-micrometer alumina particles accelerated the sintering rate of support A1100, when the sintering temperature was higher than 1350 1C. On the other hand, a much more homogeneous support A400 [19], prepared from more evenly-graded alumina AKP30, should be responsible for the lower shrinkage.

The porosity of support A1100 as a function of the sintering temperature, as well as porosity of support A400 cited from reference [21], are given in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the porosity of support A1100 decreased with sintering temperature, from 52.2% (1100 1C) to 26.9% (1400 1C). The same variation tendency is observed for support A400. However, it should be noted that the porosity of support

A400 sintered in the temperature range of 1100 1C-1250 1C was always below 40%, which is lower than that of support A1100. The much higher porosity of support A1100 can be a result of reduced packing density of particles, which exhibit a broad size distribution. Fig. 6 shows sintering temperature dependence of pure water flux of support A1100. It was found that support A1100 sintered at both 1200 1C and 1250 1C showed permeances as high as 100- $105 \text{ Lm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ . In comparison with that of support A400 sintered at similar temperatures, the permeance of support A1100 was two orders of magnitude higher than that of support A400 [21], as displayed in Fig. 6. A remarkable reduced pure water flux of 43 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for support A1100 was observed as the sintering temperature reached 1350 1C. Nevertheless, in comparison with that of support A 400, a much higher pure water flux of  $36 \text{ Lm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  was maintained when the sintering temperature of support A1100 was as high as 1400 IC. By comparison with Fig. 5 and Table 1, it is obvious that both pore size and porosity have impact on pure water flux of the support. Trans-membrane pressure dependence of pure water flux for both support A1100 (sintered at 1200 1C) and support A400 (sintered at 1100 1C) [18] are displayed in Fig. 7. As seen in this figure, pure water flux for both support A1100 and support A400 exhibited linear relationship with pressure, which suggests a pressure-driven membrane process. The much higher water permeance for



Fig. 6. Sintering temperature dependence of pure water flux of support A1100. Also shown are pure water flux of support A400 sintered at various temperatures, which are cited from reference [21].

support A1100 can be attributed to its higher porosity and pore size, as evidenced by Fig. 5 and Table 1, respectively.

Fig. 8 shows three point bending strengths of supports A1100 sintered at different temperatures. The mechanical strength of support A1100 increased from 7.7 MPa to 184 MPa, as the sintering temperature elevated from 1100 1C to 1400 1C. As is well known that the sintering of the porous alumina support is a solid-state process and lies in the initial stage, which is controlled by the surface diffusion mechanism [23]. The higher sintering temperature



Fig. 7. Comparison of pure water flux of support A1100 fabricated in this work with that of support A400 (cited from reference [18]).



Fig. 8. Three point bending strength of support A1100 as a function of sintering temperature.

Table 1 Comparison of properties of support A1100 fabricated in this work with that of support A400 (cited from reference [17]).

a-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> support	Powder size (nm)	Pore size (nm)	Porosity (%)	Average roughness (nm)	Mechanical strength (MPa)	Pure water flux (L m <sup><math>-2</math></sup> h <sup><math>-1</math></sup> bar <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )
A400 [17]	400	$\sim$ 70	~35	~30	4 100	4.5
A1100 (this work)	1100	$\sim$ 250	~47	~175	61.1	101

results in a higher diffusion coefficient and, hence, accelerates sintering. The improvement of the mechanical strength of support A1100 can be assigned to the increase in neck area between alumina particles with increasing sintering temperature, as evidenced by Fig. 9. Fig. 9 shows cross-sectional SEM photos of support A1100 sintered at 1100 1C, 1250 1C and 1350 1C, respectively. The 1100 1C-sintered support A1100 showed a low three point bending strength of approximately 8 MPa because alumina particles with size of 0.5–2 mm, aggregated in its original state, as can be found in Fig. 9. Alumina particles impinged onto



Fig. 9. SEM sectional photos of support A1100 sintered at 11001C, 12501C and 13501C, respectively.

each other and obvious necks were formed as the sintering temperature increased. When the sintering temperature reached 1350 1C, a more dense-packed microstructure of support A1100, with the concomitant growth of the necks between alumina particles, can be observed. As a result, the three point bending strength of support A1100 reached as high as 126 MPa when the sintering temperature was 1350 1C.

Fig. 10 gives the SEM surface photo of support A1100, which is sintered at 1250 1C. It can be seen that the surface of the support A1100 was smooth without any defects or cracks, showing the measured surface roughness of  $\sim$ 175 nm (see Table 1). The pore size distribution of support A1100 sintered at 1250 1C, measured with mercury porosimetry method, is given in Fig. 11. It can be seen that the support A1100 provided a narrow pore size distribution in the range of 0.1–1 mm, with an average pore size of approximately 250 nm.



Fig. 10. SEM photo of surface of support A1100 sintered at 1250 IC.



Fig. 11. Pore size distribution of disk macroporous  $a-Al_2O_3$  support sintered at 1250 IC, as measured by mercury porosimeter method.

According to the above investigations, the macroporous support A1100 sintered at 12501C provided with integrated properties of permeability, mechanical strength and surface roughness, as shown in Table 1. Also shown in Table 1 for comparison, are properties of support A400, which are cited from reference [17]. As can be seen in Table 1, support A1100 exhibited much higher pure water flux than that of support A400. More important, the  $N_2$ permeance for a 2 mm-thick support A1100, measured at ambient temperature, was up to  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$  mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>  $Pa^{-1}$ , which is approximately four times higher than that of support A400 [17]. It was reported that the Boehmite layer can be applied on a support, whose average roughness is smaller than 300 nm [4]. Therefore, g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mesoporous membrane deposited onto this promising support is anticipated, although the surface roughness of support A1100 is larger than that of support A400.

# 3.2. Properties of A1100/TiO $_2$ /g-Al $_2$ O $_3$ mesoporous membrane

Fig. 12 illustrates the PEG retention curve for disk A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mesoporous membrane. It was found that the 90% MWCO of PEG for A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane was 7450 g mol<sup>-1</sup>, which can be used to calculate the PEG radius based on the correlation between molecular weight of PEG and its Stokes–Einstein radius [6], as shown in Eq. (4).

$$\mathbf{r} = 0.262 \times (\mathbf{M}_{\rm W})^{0.5} - 0.3 \tag{4}$$

Where **r** is the PEG radius (Å),  $M_W$  is the 90% MWCO of PEG for membrane (g mol<sup>-1</sup>).

According to Eq. (4), the retained molecular size was roughly 4.4 nm. Therefore, the pore size of the membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was 4.4 nm at the most, so as to allow complete retention of PEG. The pure water flux of membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> fabricated in this paper, together with that of membrane A400/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cited from reference [18,24], is compared in Table 2. It should be noted that although the water permeance of support A1100 was much higher (two



Fig. 12. Molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of PEG for mesoporous membrane  $A1100/TiO_2/g-Al_2O_3$  calcined at 500 1C.

Table 2

Comparison	of	pure	water	flux	of	membrane	A1100/Ti	$O_2/g-Al_2O_3$
fabricated in	this	work	with t	that of	me	mbrane A40	$0/g-Al_2O_3$	(cited from
references [18	3,24]	).						

	Support A 400	Membrane A400/ g-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Support A 1100 (this work)	Membrane A1100/TiO <sub>2</sub> / g-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (this work)
Pure water flux (L m <sup><math>-2</math></sup> h <sup><math>-1</math></sup> bar <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	4.5	1.1	101	4.5



Fig. 13. Concentration dependence of retention properties for mesoporous membrane  $A1100/TiO_2/g$ - $Al_2O_3$  with respect to NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. 14. Pressure dependence of retention properties for mesoporous membrane  $A1100/TiO_2/g-Al_2O_3$  with respect to MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>.

orders of magnitude higher) than that of support A400, while the pure water flux of g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane fabricated in this study was only four times higher than that of membrane A400/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reported in literatures [18,24]. Salt retention measurements of membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> fabricated in this study, with respect to NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>, are displayed in Figs. 13–15.



Fig. 15. Retention properties of membrane  $A1100/TiO_2/g\text{-}Al_2O_3$  as a function of pH.

Fig. 13 gives ionic retentions of the membrane A1100/  $TiO_2/g-Al_2O_3$  as a function of the feed concentration, with respect to single salt solutions, like NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>. All filtration measurements were conducted at pH=6 and a trans-membrane pressure of 4 bar. In concentration range of  $0.005-0.1 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ , the membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exhibited higher retention rates towards di-valent cations (i.e.  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ) than that of the mono-valent cation (Na<sup>+</sup>), which is the characteristic of NF membranes. The decreased retention rate in the order of MgCl<sub>2</sub>4 NaCl4 Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, can be explained on the basis of the Donnan exclusion mechanism [25]. Fig. 13 also displays decreased retention rate towards single component salt with concentration. This can be explained by the decreased thickness of the electric double layer with increased salt concentration (i.e. higher ionic strength) and, hence, resulted in lower retention rate.

Fig. 14 shows retention rates regarding  $CaCl_2$  and  $MgCl_2$  as a function of trans-membrane pressure. As can be seen in Fig. 14, the retention rate increased with the pressure and reached a plateau in the end. The results line up with observations made by Schaep et al. [26], showing the transport of ions through membrane includes convection, diffusion and electro migration, which are determined by the trans-membrane pressure.

Fig. 15 illustrates the retention rates of A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane towards NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub> solutions, as a function of pH. It can be seen that the minimum retentions for each salt solution can be found around a pH value of 7.0. This behavior can be explained by iso-electric point of g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane, which is at a pH value of 7.5 [26]. As is well known, the separation mechanisms for NF membranes are based on both micropore sieving and charged membrane surfaces. At pH values around 7.5, the separation of g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane regarding ionic only relies on sieving effect because of the uncharged membrane surfaces. Therefore, the minimum retention rates can be expected if the relative large membrane pore size of ~4.4 nm is taken into account.

#### 4. Conclusions

- (1) A macroporous alumina support was fabricated through a colloidal filtration route, by using  $a-Al_2O_3$ powders with an average particle size of 1.1 mm. At a sintering temperature of 1250 1C, support A1100 with integrated performances of permeability, mechanical strength and surface roughness was obtained. This support provided relative high permeances towards water (101 L h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>) and nitrogen (~2× 10<sup>-6</sup>mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>), while maintained an average surface roughness of ~175 nm and a sufficient mechanical strength (61.1 MPa), which is promising for NF membrane formation.
- (2) A g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mesoporous layer was deposited onto a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported TiO<sub>2</sub> intermediate layer through dip-coating. The membrane A1100/TiO<sub>2</sub>/g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, with pore size of approximately 4.4 nm, showed a pure water flux as high as 4.5 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>. This mesoporous membrane exhibited relative high retention rate (~80%) towards di-valent cations like Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, but not for the mono-valent cation (Na<sup>+</sup>).

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20906047, 21276123), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (2012AA03A606), State Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering (ZK201002), the Natural Science Research Plan of Jiangsu Universities (11KJB530006) and the "Summit of the Six Top Talents" Program of Jiangsu Province.

#### References

- R. Mallada, M. Menéndez, Inorganic Membranes Synthesis, Characterization and Applications, Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008, pp. 177–216.
- [2] P. Vandezande, L.E.M. Gevers, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Solvent resistant nanofiltration: separating on a molecular level, Chemical Society Reviews 37 (2) (2008) 365–405.
- [3] T. Van Gestel, H. Kruidhof, D.H.A. Blank, H.J.M. Bouwmeester, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> membranes for nanofiltration and pervaporation— Part 1. Preparation and characterization of a corrosion-resistant ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanofiltration membrane with a MWCOO 300, Journal of Membrane Science 284 (1–2) (2006) 128–136.
- [4] A.J. Burggraaf, L. Cot, Fundamentals of Inorganic Membrane Science and Technology, Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [5] L.L. Zhang, I.-S. Park, K. Shqau, W.S. Winston Ho, H. Verweij, Supported inorganic membranes: promises and challenges, Journal of the Minerals Metals and Materials Society 61 (4) (2009) 61–71.
- [6] P. Puhlfürb, A. Voigt, R. Weber, M. Morbe, Microporous TiO<sub>2</sub> membranes with a cut off O 500 Da, Journal of Membrane Science 174 (1) (2000) 123–133.

- [7] J. Etienne, A. Larbot, A. Julbe, L. Cot, A microporous zirconia membrane prepared by the sol-gel process from zirconyl oxalate, Journal of Membrane Science 86 (1-2) (1994) 95–102.
- [8] R. Vacassy, C. Guizard, V. Thoraval, L. Cot, Synthesis and characterization of microporous zirconia powders: application in nanofilters and nanofiltration characteristics, Journal of Membrane Science 132 (1) (1997) 109–118.
- [9] T. Van Gestel, C. Vandecasteele, A. Buekenhoudt, C. Dotremont, J. Luyten, R. Leysen, B. Van der Bruggen, G. Maes, Alumina and titania multilayer membranes for nanofiltration: preparation, characterization and chemical stability, Journal of Membrane Science 207 (1) (2002) 73–89.
- [10] T. Van Gestel, C. Vandecasteele, A. Buekenhoudt, C. Dotremont, J. Luyten, R. Leysen, B. Van der Bruggen, G. Maes, Salt retention in nanofiltration with multilayer ceramic TiO<sub>2</sub> membranes, Journal of Membrane Science 209 (2) (2002) 379–389.
- [11] J. Sekulic, J.E. ten Elshof, D.H.A. Blank, A microporous titania membrane for nanofiltration and pervaporation, Advanced Materials 16 (17) (2004) 1546–1550.
- [12] T. Tsuru, D. Hironaka, T. Yoshioka, M. Asaeda, Titania membranes for liquid phase separation: effect of surface charge on flux, Separation and Purification Technology 25 (1–3) (2001) 307–314.
- [13] T. Tsuru, D. Hironaka, T. Yoshioka, M. Asaeda, Effect of divalent cations on permeate volume flux through porous titania membranes, Desalination 147 (1–3) (2002) 213–216.
- [14] I. Voigt, G. Fischer, P. Puhlfürb, M. Schleifenheimer, M. Stahn, TiO<sub>2</sub>-NF-membranes on capillary supports, Separation and Purification Technology 32 (1-3) (2003) 87–91.
- [15] S. Benfer, U. Popp, H. Richter, C. Siewert, G. Tomandl, Development and characterization of ceramic nanofiltration membranes, Separation and Purification Technology 22–23 (2001) 231–237.
- [16] P. Blanc, A. Larbot, J. Palmeri, M. Lopez, L. Cot, Hafnia ceramic nanofiltration membranes. Part I: preparation and characterization, Journal of Membrane Science 149 (2) (1998) 151–161.
- [17] M.L. Mottern, W.V. Chiu, Z.T. Warchol, K. Shqau, H. Verweij, High-performance membrane supports: a colloidal approach to the

consolidation of coarse particles, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 33 (14) (2008) 3903–3914.

- [18] S.R. Chowdhury, R. Schmuhl, K. Keizer, J.E. ten Elshof, D.H.A. Blank, Pore size and surface chemistry effects on the transport of hydrophobic and hydrophilic solvents through mesoporous g-alumina and silica MCM-48, Journal of Membrane Science 225 (1–2) (2003) 177–186.
- [19] K. Shqau, M.L. Mottern, D. Yu, H. Verweij, Preparation and properties of porous a-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane supports, Journal of the American Ceramic Society 89 (6) (2006) 1790–1794.
- [20] C. Falamaki, J. Veysizadeh, Comparative study of different routes of particulate processing on the characteristics of alumina functionally graded microfilter/membrane supports, Journal of Membrane Science 280 (1–2) (2006) 899–910.
- [21] K. Darcovich, D. Roussel, F.N. Toll, Sintering effects related to filtration properties of porous continuously gradient ceramic structures, Journal of Membrane Science 183 (2) (2001) 293–303.
- [22] K. Darcovich, C.R. Cloutier, Processing of functionally gradient ceramic membrane substrates for enhanced porosity, Journal of the American Ceramic Society 82 (8) (1999) 2073–2079.
- [23] W.D. Kingery, H.K. Bowen, D.R. Uhlmann, Introduction to Ceramics, 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 1976.
- [24] J. Sekulic, A. Magraso, J.E. ten Elshof, D.H.A. Blank, Influence of ZrO<sub>2</sub> addition on microstructure and liquid permeability of mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> membranes, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials 72 (1–3) (2004) 49–57.
- [25] J.M.M. Peeters, M.H.V. Mulder, H. Strathmann, Streaming potential measurements as a characterization method for nanofiltration membranes, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 150 (1–3) (1999) 247–259.
- [26] J. Schaep, C. Vandecasteele, B. Peeters, J. Luyten, C. Dotremont, D. Roels, Characteristics and retention properties of a mesoporous g-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> membrane for nanofiltration, Journal of Membrane Science 163 (2) (1999) 229–237.